Thin ply thermoplastic composites for damage tolerant monolithic mechanical hinges

Deutscher Luft- und Raumfahrt Kongress 2022

<u>Wolfgang Machunze</u><sup>1</sup>, Georgios Pappas<sup>2</sup>, <u>Akshay Ramachandran</u><sup>2</sup>, Brian Bautz<sup>1</sup>, Patrice Lefebure<sup>3</sup> and Paolo Ermanni<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Airbus Central R&T Materials, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Willy Messerschmitt Str. 1, 82024 Taufkirchen, Germany <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Composite Materials and Adaptive Structures, ETH Zurich Leonhardstrasse 21, 8092, Zürich, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> Airbus Central R&T Materials, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Allée du chaffault, 44340 Bouguenais, Nantes, France **ETH** zürich

AIRBUS

# Motivation: Morphing Trends – Morphing Applications & Materials

SoA of AIRBUS internal Material: CFRP Material: **Airbus UpNext** Pressure actuated Material: Material: GFRP Flexible Skin Material: M21E cellular structure & Silicone & GFRP **EXTRA PERFORMANCE WING** Smart LED Flexible Skin Belly Fluid Actuated FlexHinge Spoiler Droop Nose & @AIRBUS Fairing @AIRBUS Morphing Unit @DLR with multifunctional trailing @AIRBUS Winglet @AIRBUS edges that dynamically change wing surface AIRBUS EXTRA PERFORMANCE WING -2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018 2018 2021 2131 Trailing Edge 2014 Morphing TE Morphing chevron Shock Control Morphing Trailing Morphing wing Morphing Trailing @Academia China: @NASA Bump Edge @Fraunhofer @MIT & NASA Edge @FlexSys/API @Academia China FlexSys concept (coop. XTCT 2018) (APF) Material: EAP Material: SMA Material: SMP Material: Hybrid Al Material: CFRP cells Material: unknown. & PDMDPS **CFRP** supposed SoA of AIRBUS external

#### 27/09/2022

# Motivation: Building blocks enabling adaptive structures

AIRBUS ETHzürich.



Building blocks for morphing application SoA

# Materials challenge for Airbus to enable adaptive structures



CRT functional demonstrator for spoiler with flexible hinge for laminar wing application

Enabling future aircraft structures which are largely bended (e.g. shown adaptive spoile) enabling gap and stepless connections:



**ETH** zürich

- Which material could comply with the **requirements** while providing the necessary performance?
- Sufficient stiffness in cruise conditions to comply with skin waviness requirements

- Low actuation force for bending for lightweight actuators
- How to provide robustness/damage tolerance to such kind of thin (monolithic) structures?
- **Design/stacking rules** for standard and non-standard laminates & thin skin thickness for CFRP

Thin ply thermoplastic carbon fiber reinforced plastic (TP-CFRTP) identified as optimal solution for such mechanical largely bended hinges.

This **new material class** is **not commercially available**, hence **Airbus Central R&T** entered into a **collaboration** with **ETH-Zurich / CMAS** (Laboratory of Composite Materials and Adaptive Structures) which already has expertise in processing & lightweight design of TP-CFRTP.

# Advantages of thin ply composites

#### AIRBUS ETH zürich-



[0/90]<sub>s</sub> 160 g/m<sup>2</sup> Thin ply laminate shell



[45/90/-45/0]<sub>s</sub> 80 g/m<sup>2</sup>

• Expand the **design space** for same laminate thickness.

# Advantages of thin ply composites



- Expand the **design space** for same laminate thickness.
- In-situ transverse strength: 200% for 50 gm<sup>2</sup> QI laminate vs 200 gm<sup>2</sup>.

## Advantages of thin ply composites



- Expand the **design space** for same laminate thickness.
- In-situ transverse strength: 200% for 50 gm<sup>2</sup> QI laminate vs 200 gm<sup>2</sup>.
- For very low thickness (<250 microns) shells, we achieve highly **resilient** structures.

#### DLRK 2022 Dresden

# Key challenges of thin ply thermoset composites

#### AIRBUS ETH zürich -



Problem: As ply thickness decreases, damage tolerance decreases.

Ultimate failure and onset of damage as function of ply thickness

Amacher et al, Compos Sci Technol, 101 (2014): 121-132

# High-end Thermoplastics: Polyether-ether Ketone (PEEK)



DSC thermograms for CF/PEEK composites at different cooling rate

- High toughness & Interface strength.
- PEEK crystallinity could be controlled using cooling rate (in ° C/min).
- Mechanical properties is **dependent** on PEEK crystallinity.

Scope: i) Produce thin-ply thermoplastic-based composites (TP<sup>2</sup>C) ii) Assess their properties vs. CF/Epoxy counterparts & identify optimization potential



Micrograph of CF/PEEK composites for 2000°C/min

Gao,Kim, Compos Part A-Appl S, vol. 31, no. 6 (2000): 517-530 & 32, no. 6 (2001): 763-774



Micrograph of CF/PEEK composites for 1°C/min

#### 27/09/2022

# Thin-ply Thermoplastics: CMASLab in-house processing technique





μ— 35 μm

**ETH** zürich



- Advanced film stacking methodology.
- Aerospace grade **low void** content.
- Different microstructure.



Schlothauer et al, pre-print, arXiv:2204.00671v1, 2022

#### 27/09/2022

#### DLRK 2022 Dresden



#### Material

Constituents	Value
Zoltek <sup>™</sup> PX35 50K Spread tow (CF)	50 g/m²
Victrex Aptiv <sup>®</sup> 1000 series PEEK film	25 µm
Cured ply thickness	50 µm
Target Fiber volume fraction	52%
Bleeding (w.r.t matrix volume)	7%





Incineration

AIRBUS ETH zürich -



Void free laminate



#### **Optical Micrograph**



**US Scan** 







## Mechanical characterization



#### Fracture testing



- **Material:** Nominal FVF of 52.5% and crystallinity of 32.7%.
- Interlaminar fracture properties were obtained according to ASTM D5528.
- Additional transverse tensile experiments conducted according to ASTM D3039.

$$G_{\rm I} = \frac{3P^2C^{2/3}}{2A_1bh}$$

## Mode I interlaminar fracture testing







#### Time history of load and crack length

#### Crack opening

#### 27/09/2022

DLRK 2022 Dresden

AIRBUS ETH zürich.



Effect of ply thickness on fracture toughness of CF/PEEK



Thermoplastic matrices doesn't compromise transverse strength

- More than **4 times** the initiation fracture toughness vs CF/Epoxy.
- More than **3 times** the propagation fracture toughness vs thin ply CF/Epoxy.
- About **1.8 times** the propagation fracture toughness vs thick ply CF/Epoxy.
- **No change** in intralaminar transverse strength.

AIRBUS ETHzürich-



Effect of ply thickness on fracture toughness of CF/PEEK



Thermoplastic matrices doesn't compromise transverse strength

- More than **4 times** the initiation fracture toughness vs CF/Epoxy.
- More than **3 times** the propagation fracture toughness vs thin ply CF/Epoxy.
- About **1.8 times** the propagation fracture toughness vs thick ply CF/Epoxy.
- No change in intralaminar transverse strength.
- Less durable than thick CF/PEEK plies.

# Next steps of collaboration ETH Zurich / Airbus for ThinPly CFRTP

# 3 • CFRP

#### **3rd phase**

Impact tests and cyclic 4 point large bending for damage tolerance

ETH zürich.

Comparison thin ply CFRTP with SoA TP and TS

• Stacking optimization and use case for bending materials

#### 1st phase

Basic investigations on the raw thin ply tapes and on the processing/consolidation

- Tape morphology,  $\bigcirc$
- DSC, DMA, rheology  $\bigtriangledown$
- Laminates consolidation & associated quality  $\bigtriangledown$ expertises
- Process upscaling





#### 2nd phase

Static testing

Transverse Tensile 📿 Interlaminar (mode I) fracture toughness DCB 2



# Thank you for your attention

# <u>Wolfgang Machunze<sup>1</sup></u>, Georgios Pappas<sup>2</sup>, <u>Akshay Ramachandran<sup>2</sup></u>, Brian Bautz<sup>1</sup>, Patrice Lefebure<sup>3</sup> and Paolo Ermanni<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Airbus Central R&T Materials, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Willy Messerschmitt Str. 1, 82024 Taufkirchen, Germany <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Composite Materials and Adaptive Structures, ETH Zurich Leonhardstrasse 21, 8092, Zürich, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> Airbus Central R&T Materials, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Allée du chaffault, 44340 Bouguenais, Nantes, France

#### 27/09/2022

**ETH** zürich

AIRBUS

#### References

- 1. Camanho, Pedro P., Carlos G. Dávila, Silvestre T. Pinho, Lorenzo Iannucci, and Paul Robinson. "*Prediction of in situ strengths and matrix cracking in composites under transverse tension and in-plane shear.*" Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 37, no. 2 (2006): 165-176.
- 2. Pappas, Georgios A., Arthur Schlothauer, and Paolo Ermanni. "*Bending failure analysis and modeling of thin fiber reinforced shells.*" Composites Science and Technology 216 (2021): 108979.
- 3. Frossard, G., J. Cugnoni, T. Gmür, and J. Botsis. "Mode I interlaminar fracture of carbon epoxy laminates: Effects of ply thickness." Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 91 (2016): 1–8.
- 4. Amacher, R., J. Cugnoni, J. Botsis, L. Sorensen, W. Smith, and C. Dransfeld. "*Thin ply composites: Experimental characterization and modeling of size-effects.*" Composites Science and Technology 101 (2014): 121–132.
- 5. Gao, Shang-Lin, and Jang-Kyo Kim. "Cooling rate influences in carbon fibre/PEEK composites. Part 1. Crystallinity and interface adhesion." Composites Part A: Applied science and manufacturing 31, no. 6 (2000): 517–530.
- 6. Gao, Shang-Lin, and Jang-Kyo Kim. "*Cooling rate influences in carbon fibre/PEEK composites. Part II: interlaminar fracture toughness.*" Composites Part A: Applied science and manufacturing 32, no. 6 (2001): 763–774.
- 7. Arthur Schlothauer, Georgios A. Pappas, Paolo Ermanni, *"Thin-ply thermoplastic composites: from weak to robust transverse performance through microstructural and morphological tuning."*, pre-print, arXiv:2204.00671v1, 2022.
- 8. Pierreux Brecht, "Investigation on damage tolerance of thin ply thermoplastic composites.", Semester Project Report, CMASLab, ETH Zurich.
- 9. "Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials." ASTM D3039.
- 10. Dvorak, George J., and Norman Laws. "Analysis of progressive matrix cracking in composite laminates II. First ply failure." Journal of Composite Materials 21, no. 4 (1987): 309–329.
- 11. "Standard Test Method for Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Unidirectional Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites.", ASTM D5528-13.